Allan Hancock College Institutional Review Board

EXPEDITED REVIEW OF RESEARCH FORM

Human subject research activities involving no more than minimal risk to the subjects may be eligible for expedited review by Allan Hancock College's Institutional Review Board Chair. The principal investigator/project director is authorized to make the first determination of eligibility for expedited review; however, the College bears the responsibility for concurring in that determination based on information provided by the principal investigator.

Research activities eligible for expedited review:

- (1) Clinical studies of drugs and medical devices only when certain conditions are met (see regulations)
- (2) Collection of blood samples by finger stick, heel stick, ear stick, or venipuncture.
- (3) Prospective collection of biological specimens for research purposes by noninvasive means.
- (4) Collection of data through noninvasive procedures (not involving general anesthesia or sedation) routinely employed in clinical practice, excluding procedures involving x-rays or microwaves.
- (5) Research involving materials (data, documents, records, or specimens) that have been collected, or will be collected solely for non-research purposes (such as medical treatment or diagnosis). (Note: Some research in this category may be exempt from the HHS regulations for the protection of human subjects according to 45 CFR 46.101(b)(4)).
- (6) Collection of data from voice, video, digital, or image recordings made for research purposes.
- (7) Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies. (Note: Some research in this category may be exempt from the HHS regulations for the protection of human subjects according to 45 CFR 46.101(b)(2) and (b)(3)).

Expedited review may also be used to review minor changes in previously approved research. Questions about whether a research activity may be appropriate for expedited review can be directed to Hancock's VP, Institutional Effectiveness.

/ /	Allan Hancock College	
Date Submitted	Institutional Review Board	File Number

Expedited Review of Research Form

Title of Research Project						
Principal Investigator/Project Director	Department	Phone Extension	Email address			
Co-investigator/Student Investigator	Department	Phone Extension	Email address			
Co-investigator/Student Investigator	Department	Phone Extension	Email address			
Anticipated Funding Source:						
Projected Duration of Research:	months	Projected Starting Date:				
Other organizations and/or agencies, if any, involved in the study:						
Expedited Review Category (see categories	on nage 1_chec	k one) 1 🗆 2 🗆 3 🗆 4 🗆] 5 🗆 6 🗆 7 🗆			

SUMMARY ABSTRACT: Please supply the following information below: BRIEF description of the participants, the location(s) of the project, the procedures to be used for data collection, whether data will be confidential or anonymous, disposition of the data, who will have access to the data. <u>Attach copy</u> of the Informed Consent Form and/or the measures (questionnaires) to be used in the project.

RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:

- Any additions or changes in procedures in the protocol will be submitted to the IRB for written approval prior to these changes being implemented
- Any problems connected with the use of human subjects once the project has begun must be communicated to the IRB Chair
- The principal investigator is responsible for retaining informed consent documents for a period of three years after the project.
- The principal investigator should include with the IRB submission a confirmation that the research has been approved by the Hancock chairperson(s) and Dean(s) of the academic area(s) where the research will be conducted.
- The principal investigator shall notify the Hancock IRB chairperson when the research proposal has been approved or modified by another institution's IRB.
- The principal investigator will provide a copy of the final research results to the chairperson of Hancock's IRB.

Allan Hancock College IRB Expediated Research Form

Principal Investigator Signature		Co-Investigator/Student Signature (if appropriate)	re (if appropriate)	
Chair/Immediate Supervisor	_/_/_	Dean/Director	/ /	
Signature of IRB Committee Chair:		Date:/_/	_	

Allan Hancock College Institutional Review Board

ELEMENTS OF INFORMED CONSENT

Researchers must obtain the signed *informed consent* of participants. For those less than 18 years of age, the researcher must obtain the signed informed consent of parents or legal guardian and all reasonable attempts must be made to obtain each participant's *assent*, which is defined as the participant's agreement to participate in the study.

The informed consent must include the following in sequential order and in language which the participants can understand:

- 1. Statement of purpose of the study.
- 2. Short description of methodology and duration of participant involvement.
- 3. Statement of risks/benefits to the participants.
- 4. Statement of data confidentiality.
- 5. Statement regarding the right of the participant to withdraw from the study at any time without negative consequences.
- 6. An offer to answer any questions the participant may have.
- 7. Contact information of all Principal Investigators, and also contact information for Hancock's Institutional Review Board (VP, Institutional Effectiveness).
- 8. Line for signature of participants and/or parents or legal guardian except for questionnaire research in which return of questionnaire gives implied consent.
- 9. Statement that participant is 18 years of age or older unless parent or legal guardian has given consent.

In situations where participants will be **deceived**, items 1 and 2 are omitted and participants are told (on the signed form) that disclosure of the purpose and/or methodology could bias the outcome of the study. In this case, **after the study is complete**, each participant must be presented with a description of the purpose and methodology as carried out and this document must be signed by the participants "after the fact" in order to guarantee informed consent.

Allan Hancock College

SAMPLE INFORMED CONSENT

The following suggestions are offered as guidelines. The exact language is the decision of the researcher. Keep in mind, however, that the Institutional Review Board must determine if the participants will be giving *informed consent*. (Note: that in the case of children, it is *assent*).

Dear (student, parent, sir, madam, etc.):		
We are conducting a study to determine		
child/ward) will be asked to	Your participation show	ıld take about
minutes.		
There are no risks to you (your child/ward).		
The only risks to you (your child/ward) include		·
All information will be handled in a strictly confidentify you (your child/ward) when the results are recor		vill be able to
Your (your child's/ward's) participation in this st any time without negative consequences. If you wish to	• •	•
Please feel free to contact at phone) if you have any questions about th Hancock's VP, Institutional Effectiveness (805-922-696)	e study. Or, for other questions,	
If the participant is of age (18 years old or older), use: I understand the study described above and have above. I am 18 years of age or older and I agree to partic		otion as outlined
	Signature of Participant	Date
If the participant is not of age, use: I understand the study described above and have above. I agree to allow my child/ward to participate with		otion as outlined
ASSENT format: I understand what I must do in this study and I w	Signature of Parent/Guardian rant to take part in the study.	Date
•	6013133	
	Signature of Child/Ward	Date